

Exploring and exploiting resistance to *Globodera pallida* in potato

Ulrike Gartner

University of Idaho, 08 March 2019



Background



- 5.2 million tonnes of tuber production in UK in 2016 (44 tonnes/ha)
- 45% of land is used for the production of seed potatoes in Scotland



Healthy potato plants and plants affected with PCN



Tubers from various commercially available potato cultivars



Potato Cyst Nematodes

- Two species, G. rostochiensis and G. pallida, have been described in the UK
- Feed and develop in the root system
- Cysts fall into the soil and can survive there for many years
- Listed as quarantine organism and therefore subject to monitoring





- 1. Characterisation of UK *G. pallida* populations and their distribution; finding markers to distinguish between pathotypes
- 2. Wild potatoes species as source of new resistance to *G. pallida*



G. pallida populations in the UK originate from South America based on microsatellites and



Plantard *et al* (2008), *Molecular Ecology*

Institute

A mitochondrial marker can distinguish between the three introductions of *G. pallida*





PCR amplification of a non-coding region of mitochondrial circles II and IV with primers that are labelled with two different fluorophores, Taq1 digestion, electrophoresis and laser detection of fluorescent

DNA fragments.



Composition of *G. pallid*a from the historical JHI collection

Samples	P	opulation	Туре А	Туре В	Туре С	No. cysts
						analysed
JHI	Lindley		25 (96%)	1 (4%)		26
collection	Luffness	field 1	2 (6%)	33 (94%)		35
	Pa1		4 (19%)	2 (10%)	15 (71%)	21



Composition of *G. pallid*a from the historical JHI collection



Samples	P	opulation	Туре А	Туре В	Туре С	No. cysts	
						analysed	
JHI	Lindley		25 (96%)	1 (4%)		26	"Pa2
collection	Luffness	field 1	2 (6%)	33 (94%)		35	"Pa3
	Pa1		4 (19%)	2 (10%)	15 (71%)	21	"Pa1



Composition of *G. pallid*a from the historical JHI collection



of Pa2/3"

of Pa2/3"

Samples	P	opulation	Туре А	Туре В	Type C	No. cysts	
						analysed	
JHI	Lindley		25 (96%)	1 (4%)		26	"Pa2 enc
collection	Luffness	field 1	2 (6%)	33 (94%)		35	"Pa3 enc
	Pa1		4 (19%)	2 (10%)	15 (71%)	21	"Pa1"

Can these markers be used as pathotype markers?



Composition of *G. pallid***a in historical and recent field samples**

Samples	F	Population	Туре А	Туре В	Туре С	No. cysts analysed
JHI	Lindley		25 (96%)	1 (4%)		26
collection	Luffness	field 1	2 (6%)	33 (94%)		35
	Pa1		4 (19%)	2 (10%)	15 (71%)	21



Composition of *G. pallid***a in historical and recent field samples**

Samples	F	Population	Туре А	Туре В	Туре С	No. cysts analysed
JHI	Lindley		25 (96%)	1 (4%)		26
collection	Luffness	field 1	2 (6%)	33 (94%)		35
	Pa1		4 (19%)	2 (10%)	15 (71%)	21
Field	Luffness f	ield 1	7 (39%)	9 (50%)	2 (11%)	18
	Luffness f	ield 2			2 (100%)	2
	Luffness f	ield 3	4 (100%)			4
	Luffness f	ield 5	1 (33%)		2 (67%)	3

Composition of *G. pallid***a in historical and recent field samples**

Samples	F	Population	Туре А	Туре В	Туре С	No. cysts analysed
JHI	Lindley		25 (96%)	1 (4%)		26
collection	Luffness	field 1	2 (6%)	33 (94%)		35
	Pa1		4 (19%)	2 (10%)	15 (71%)	21
Field	Luffness f	ield 1	7 (39%)	9 (50%)	2 (11%)	18
	Luffness f	ield 2			2 (100%)	2
	Luffness f	ield 3	4 (100%)			4
	Luffness f	ield 5	1 (33%)		2 (67%)	3

In Scotland the 3 G. pallida introductions are widespread



- All 3 types are widespread
- Most fields have 1 cytB type
- 1/5 fields contain mixtures
- <3% contain all three types
- 1 field has a 4th type

Eves van den Akker et al 2015 Molecular Ecology



If UK fields contain multiple introductions of *G. pallida,* are they also mixtures of phenotypes?





Generation of single cyst lines The James Hutton Institute Phenotyping test on Desirée ulletMaris Piper (H1) P55/7 (H2) Vales Everest (H3) ۲ 62.33.3 (*Gpa5* lacksquare*different source*) Innovator (*Gpa5*) Year 1 Year 2-4 Year 3-5



Population ID	Year Tested	Pot	tato Gen	otypes w Res	/ith Differ sistance	ent Sour	ces of
		Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	VTN 62.33.3	V. Everest	Innovator
Lindley 2010 11	2016						
Lindley 2010 11	2017						
Lindley 2010 3	2017						
Lindley JHI pool	2016						
Lindley JHI pool	2017						
Luff 2011 3-8	2017						
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2016						
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2017						
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2016						
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2017						
Luff 2011 1-12	2016						
Luff 2011 1-12	2017						
Luff 2014 1-4	2017						
Luff 2014 1-19	2017						
Luff 2014 1-30	2017						
Lufness JHI pool	2016						
Lufness JHI pool	2017						
Pa 1 2011 3	2017						
Pa 1 2011 12	2017						
Pa1 2011 pool	2016						
Pa1 2011 pool	2017						



Level of Resistance



5-

Population ID	Year Tested	Pot	tato Gen	otypes v Res	vith Differ sistance	ent Sour	ces of
		Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	VTN 62.33.3	V. Everest	Innovator
Lindley 2010 11	2016						
Lindley 2010 11	2017						
Lindley 2010 3	2017						
Lindley JHI pool	2016				$\langle \rangle$		
Lindley JHI pool	2017						
Luff 2011 3-8	2017						
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2016						
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2017						
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2016						
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2017						
Luff 2011 1-12	2016						
Luff 2011 1-12	2017						
Luff 2014 1-4	2017						
Luff 2014 1-19	2017						
Luff 2014 1-30	2017						
Lufness JHI pool	2016						
Lufness JHI pool	2017						
Pa 1 2011 3	2017						
Pa 1 2011 12	2017						
Pa1 2011 pool	2016						
Pa1 2011 pool	2017						





Population ID	Year	Po	tato Gen	otypes v	vith Differ	ent Sour	ces of
•	lested			Kes	sistance		
		Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	VTN 62.33.3	V. Everest	Innovator
Lindley 2010 11	2016				$\langle \rangle$		
Lindley 2010 11	2017				()		
Lindley 2010 3	2017						
Lindley JHI pool	2016				$\langle \rangle$		
Lindley JHI pool	2017						
	1					i	
Luff 2011 3-8	2017						
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2016						
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2017						
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2016						
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2017						
Luff 2011 1-12	2016						
Luff 2011 1-12	2017						
Luff 2014 1-4	2017						
Luff 2014 1-19	2017						
Luff 2014 1-30	2017						
Lufness JHI pool	2016						
Lufness JHI pool	2017						
	1						
Pa 1 2011 3	2017						
Pa 1 2011 12	2017						
Pa1 2011 pool	2016						
Pa1 2011 pool	2017						





Population ID	Year Tested	Po	tato Gen	otypes v	vith Differ	ent Sour	ces of
	lesteu	Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	VTN 62 <u>.3</u> 3.3	V. Everest	Innovator
Lindley 2010 11	2016						
Lindley 2010 11	2017						
Lindley 2010 3	2017						
Lindley JHI pool	2016				\frown		
Lindley JHI pool	2017						
Luff 2011 3-8	2017						
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2016						
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2017						
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2016						
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2017						
Luff 2011 1-12	2016						
Luff 2011 1-12	2017						
Luff 2014 1-4	2017						
Luff 2014 1-19	2017						
Luff 2014 1-30	2017						
Lufness JHI pool	2016				\square		
Lufness JHI pool	2017						
Pa 1 2011 3	2017						
Pa 1 2011 12	2017						
Pa1 2011 pool	2016						
Pa1 2011 pool	2017						





Population ID	Year Tested	Po	tato Gen	otypes v Red	vith Differ	ent Sour	ces of
	icsicu	Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	VTN 62.33.3	V. Everest	Innovator
Lindley 2010 11	2016						
Lindley 2010 11	2017						
Lindley 2010 3	2017				\bigtriangledown		
Lindley JHI pool	2016				\square		
Lindley JHI pool	2017						
		_					
Luff 2011 3-8	2017						
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2016						
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2017						
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2016						
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2017						
Luff 2011 1-12	2016						
Luff 2011 1-12	2017				$\langle \rangle$		
Luff 2014 1-4	2017						
Luff 2014 1-19	2017				\setminus /		
Luff 2014 1-30	2017						
Lufness JHI pool	2016				\frown		
Lufness JHI pool	2017						
Pa 1 2011 3	2017						
Pa 1 2011 12	2017						
Pa1 2011 pool	2016						
Pa1 2011 pool	2017						





Population ID	Year	Po	tato Gen	otypes v	vith Differ	ent Sour	ces of			
_	lested		Resistance							
		Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	62.33.3	Everest	Innovator			
Lindley 2010 11	2016									
Lindley 2010 11	2017									
Lindley 2010 3	2017									
Lindley JHI pool	2016				\bigcirc					
Lindley JHI pool	2017									
Luff 2011 3-8	2017									
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2016				$\langle \rangle$					
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2017									
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2016									
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2017									
Luff 2011 1-12	2016									
Luff 2011 1-12	2017				$\langle \rangle$					
Luff 2014 1-4	2017									
Luff 2014 1-19	2017				\setminus /					
Luff 2014 1-30	2017									
Lufness JHI pool	2016				\frown					
Lufness JHI pool	2017									
Pa 1 2011 3	2017									
Pa 1 2011 12	2017									
Pa1 2011 pool	2016									
Pa1 2011 pool	2017									





Population ID	Year	Po	tato Gen	otypes w	ith Differ	ent Sour	ces of
	Tested		1	Res	istance		1
		Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	VTN 62.33.3	V. Everest	ces of Innovator
Lindley 2010 11	2016				$\langle \rangle$		
Lindley 2010 11	2017						
Lindley 2010 3	2017						
Lindley JHI pool	2016				\frown		
Lindley JHI pool	2017						
Luff 2011 3-8	2017						
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2016				$\langle \rangle$		
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2017						
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2016						
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2017						
Luff 2011 1-12	2016				$\langle \rangle$		
Luff 2011 1-12	2017				$\langle \rangle$		
Luff 2014 1-4	2017						
Luff 2014 1-19	2017						
Luff 2014 1-30	2017						
Lufness JHI pool	2016				\frown		
Lufness JHI pool	2017						
Pa 1 2011 3	2017						
Pa 1 2011 12	2017						
Pa1 2011 pool	2016						
Pa1 2011 pool	2017			\smallsetminus			





Population ID	Year	Pot	Potato Genotypes with Different Sources of Resistance Desirée M. Piper P55/7 VTN 62.33.3 V. Everest Innovator Innovator Innovator Innovator Innovator Innovator											
-	lested			Res	istance									
		Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	VTN 62.33.3	V. Everest	Innovator							
Lindley 2010 11	2016				$\langle \rangle$									
Lindley 2010 11	2017				()									
Lindley 2010 3	2017													
Lindley JHI pool	2016				$\langle \rangle$									
Lindley JHI pool	2017													
Luff 2011 3-8	2017													
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2016				$\langle \rangle$									
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2017													
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2016													
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2017													
Luff 2011 1-12	2016													
Luff 2011 1-12	2017				$\langle \rangle$									
Luff 2014 1-4	2017													
Luff 2014 1-19	2017													
Luff 2014 1-30	2017													
Lufness JHI pool	2016				\frown									
Lufness JHI pool	2017													
Pa 1 2011 3	2017													
Pa 1 2011 12	2017													
Pa1 2011 pool	2016													
Pa1 2011 pool	2017													







Population ID	Year Tested	Ро	tato Gen	otypes v Res	vith Differ sistance	ent Sour	ces of	Mito-	GBS	The James Huttor
		Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	VTN 62.33.3	V. Everest	Innovator			Institute
Lindley 2010 11	2016							A	A	
Lindley 2010 11	2017							A	А	
Lindley 2010 3	2017							А	А	
Lindley JHI pool	2016				\square			А		Level of
Lindley JHI pool	2017					1		A		Resistance
Luff 2011 3-8	2017							А	A	
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2016							А	A	
Luff 2011 3-17(b)	2017							А	A	
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2016							A	A	
Luff 2011 3-18(a)	2017							A	A	
Luff 2011 1-12	2016				$\langle \rangle$			В	В	
Luff 2011 1-12	2017				$\langle \rangle$			В	В	
Luff 2014 1-4	2017							В	В	
Luff 2014 1-19	2017							В	В	
Luff 2014 1-30	2017							В	В	+
Lufness JHI pool	2016				\frown			В	В	
Lufness JHI pool	2017							В	В	
Pa 1 2011 3	2017							A	С	
Pa 1 2011 12	2017							А	С	00
Pa1 2011 pool	2016							С	С	XXX
Pa1 2011 pool	2017							С	С	

Population ID	Year Tested	Potato Genotypes with Different Sources of Resistance									
		Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	VTN 62.33.3	V. Everest	Innovator				
AB A8-2	2016										
AB A8-2	2017										
AB Pb-3	2016										
AB Pb-3	2017										
AB Pb-12	2017										
	,										
HA 2011 9	2016										
HA 2011 9	2017										
HA 2011 32	2016										
HA 2011 32	2017										
HA 2011 12	2016										
HA 2011 12	2017										
HA 2011 27	2016										
HA 2011 27	2017										
HA 2011 34	2017										
HA 2011 49	2017										





Population ID	Year Tested	Ро	tato Gen	otypes w	vith Differe	ent Sourc	ces of
		Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	VTN 62.33.3	V. Everest	Innovator
AB A8-2	2016				$\langle \rangle$		
AB A8-2	2017					\backslash	
AB Pb-3	2016						
AB Pb-3	2017			/		/	
AB Pb-12	2017						
)		
HA 2011 9	2016						
HA 2011 9	2017						
HA 2011 32	2016						
HA 2011 32	2017						
HA 2011 12	2016						
HA 2011 12	2017						
HA 2011 27	2016						
HA 2011 27	2017						
HA 2011 34	2017						
HA 2011 49	2017						





Population ID	Year Tested	Ро	tato Gen	otypes w	vith Differe	ent Sourc	ces of
				Res	istance		
		Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	VTN 62.33.3	V. Everest	Innovator
AB A8-2	2016				$\langle \rangle$		
AB A8-2	2017			(\backslash	
AB Pb-3	2016						
AB Pb-3	2017						
AB Pb-12	2017						
					<u> </u>		
HA 2011 9	2016						
HA 2011 9	2017						
HA 2011 32	2016						
HA 2011 32	2017						
HA 2011 12	2016				$\langle \rangle$		
HA 2011 12	2017			/			
HA 2011 27	2016						
HA 2011 27	2017						
HA 2011 34	2017						
HA 2011 49	2017						





Population ID	Vear Tested	Ро	tato Gen	otypes w	vith Differe	ent Sourc	ces of
i opulation ib	Teal Tested			Res	istance		
		Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	VTN 62.33.3	V. Everest	Innovator
AB A8-2	2016				$\langle \rangle$		
AB A8-2	2017			(\backslash	
AB Pb-3	2016						
AB Pb-3	2017						
AB Pb-12	2017						
HA 2011 9	2016				$\langle \rangle$		
HA 2011 9	2017						
HA 2011 32	2016						
HA 2011 32	2017						
HA 2011 12	2016						
HA 2011 12	2017			/			
HA 2011 27	2016						
HA 2011 27	2017						
HA 2011 34	2017						
HA 2011 49	2017						





Population ID

Year Tested

Potato Genotypes with Different Sources of

Resistance

			_	
				ĺ
Tł	ne	Ja	m	es
Η	lu	tt	0	n
Ir	ISI	tit	ut	te

GBS

type Group

Mito-

								-76-		-
		Desirée	M. Piper	P55/7	VTN 62.33.3	V. Everest	Innovator			
AB A8-2	2016				$\langle \rangle$			A		
AB A8-2	2017			/		\backslash		A		
AB Pb-3	2016							A	А	Le
AB Pb-3	2017			(N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N			А	А	R
AB Pb-12	2017							A	А	
HA 2011 9	2016			/				A	А	
HA 2011 9	2017							А	А	
HA 2011 32	2016					V		А	А	
HA 2011 32	2017							А	А	
HA 2011 12	2016				$\langle \rangle$			В	А	
HA 2011 12	2017			/				В	А	
HA 2011 27	2016							В	А	
HA 2011 27	2017							В	А	
HA 2011 34	2017							В	А	
HA 2011 49	2017				\backslash			В	А	



Characterisation of UK G. pallida populations and their distribution summary

- The lames Hutton Institute
- * Our data suggest that PCN populations became more complex over time.
- * Current *G. pallida* field populations are likely to comprise mixtures of up to the three different historical introductions from South America.
- * VTN62.33.3, P55/7 and Vales Everest show the greatest variability in nematode multiplication.
- * Innovator consistently provides a good level of resistance for all G. *pallida* populations. There is evidence that this resistance will be overcome. We need more resistant varieties, pyramiding of different resistance genes.
- ** The mitochondrial markers for the different introductions are not suitable as pathotype markers

Future Work: Development of molecular pathotype markers for *G. pallida*



Combining the SNP data obtained from the GBS analysis with the phenotypic data obtained for the single cyst lines and population pools provided SNP candidates in order to find robust molecular pathotype markers.



Single cyst line/population	Pheno type	Mito type	GBS group
HA 12	PA3	В	А
HA27	PA3	В	А
HA34	PA3	В	А
PB-12	PA2	А	А
Luff3-18	PA2	А	А
Luff 3-8	PA2	А	А
Luff1-12	PA3	В	В
Luff1-4	PA3	В	В
Luff1-30	PA3	В	В
Pa1-12	PA1	А	С
Pa1 pool	PA1	С	С
Pa1 ire 1	PA1	n/a	С

Future work: Candidate SNPs for molecular pathogen markers for *G. pallida*



	Allele1/2	% allele	e 1 in poj	oulations	
		PA2	PA3	PA1	
1	A/T	0.0	0.0	100.0	some populations sequenced, mixture of sequences.
2	T/C	0.0	9.1	100.0	some populations sequenced, SNPs found in Pa1 compared to Pa2/3
3	G/A	100.0	100.0	16.7	some populations sequenced, no pathotype specific SNPs found
4	G/A	100.0	100.0	16.7	primers designed, not sequenced yet
5	G/A	100.0	100.0	16.7	primers designed, not sequenced yet
6	T/C	0.0	9.1	100.0	
7	G/C	87.5	81.8	16.7	
8	T/C	100.0	9.1	100.0	
9	T/C	25.0	81.8	0.0	
10	T/A	37.5	90.9	0.0	
11	T/C	50.0	81.8	0.0	
12	G/A	75.0	90.9	16.7	



- 1. Characterisation of UK *G. pallida* populations and their distribution; finding markers to distinguish between pathotypes
- 2. Wild potatoes species as source of new resistance to *G. pallida*



History of the Commonwealth Potato Collection (CPC)

Red 6 at

Balls' no : B7475

Nariño, Colombia.

3 totas

Solanum sp. Specimen obtained in market Pasto. Der

Dry, floury. Plants resistant to Tubers oval, with point at heel 5 x 4.5 x 3.5. Skin black-purple, very even coloure ves small, narrow, deep with strong marked, overhangin

traight brows and slight bulge above. Flesh deep ye

9.8.39. Cultivated at Los Pitreros, S. of Pasto and Cacita, in warm climates. ne: "Chaucha negra" Very good eating quality,



- Discovery of blight resistant spontaneous hybrid between cultivated potato and the Mexican species Solanum demissum.
- **Collecting expeditions to South America** 1938-1939.
- Jack Hawkes.
- Empire Collection created, now CPC.





CPC today





- One of a network of international potato gene banks.
- Currently over 1500 accessions with over 80 different species.

- 2/3 wild tuber bearing species 1/3 primitive cultivated types.
- Collection held in true seed form.
- High health status.
- Working collection and base collection system.

Identifying natural sources of resistance





- *S. spegazzinii*, acc. 7195, shows natural resistance to *G. pallida*.
- Diploid wild potato species.
- 1966 collected in Argentina, la Riocha, Fatima 1950 m altitude
- 2003: S.spegazzinii 7195(10) x S. tuberosum group phureja DB337(37)
- Scoring for resistance against PCN in progeny, selection of one resistant plant 02.F1.3a (35) as parent for my screen.
- 2013 backcross 02.F1.3a (35) x S. tuberosum group phureja DB337(37) 13.A.02 ~1000 F1

Identification of resistant and susceptible progeny



200 F1 plants from 13.A.02 and their parents were scored for *G. pallida* population Lindley resistance/susceptibility in 4 replicate by counting infection the number of females on the surface 8 weeks post infection.





Mapping of genes conferring resistance to *G. pallida* in the wild potato species *S. spegazzinii*





adapted from Michael J. Bamshad, Sarah B. Ng, Abigail W. Bigham, Holly K. Tabor, Mary J. Emond, Deborah A. Nickerson & Jay Shendure, Nature Reviews Genetics 12, 745-755 (November 2011)

Generic-mapping enrichment Sequencing (GenSeq)

Informative SNPs from GenSeq: enrichment of ~2000 single/low copy number genes: the background color on the y-axis show the areas covered by the enrichment, the dots indicate the number and location of informative SNPs, which are SNPs present in all resistant plants but not in any of the susceptible ones.

Development of KASP (Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR) markers

The lames

Hutton Institute

Markers were validated by testing them on the parents.

Graphical Genotyping

The James Hutton Institute

K5 to K8 comprise 5.4 Mb

K5 to K7 comprise 3.4 Mb

1					-																
		615	524	58	444	448	465	467	471	479	510	519	545	628	635	637	638	643	644	566	
	K5	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	
	K6	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	
	K7	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	
	K8	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	
		A036	686	49	70	446	463	495	512	516	523	568	586	633	648	700	579	678	500		
	K5	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
	K6	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
	K7	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
	K8	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
																		S R	susce resis home hete	eptible tant Dzygot rozygot	

Work in progress

- Screening of all 1000 progenies of the crossing with marker K5 and K7, looking for recombinants
- Recombinants are also screened with K6 and new markers to narrow down position
- Recombinants will be screened of resistance/susceptibility

Introgressing the resistance to tetraploid cultivars and breeding clones.

- Diploid tetraploid crossing relies on unreduced gametes
- If successful, small berries with only a few seeds.
- Pyramiding of resistances
- Validating markers

Summary

- The wild potato species S. spegazzinii, acc. 7195, shows natural resistance to G. pallida.
- With enrichment sequencing we have identified a region on chromosome VI which contains SNPs linked to the resistance.
- Kasp markers were developed and the locus for this new resistance locus for *G. pallida* in *S. spegazzinii* could be mapped to a region of 3.4 Mb.
- More markers have been designed and should provide more information about the locus.
- Evaluation if markers can be used more universally
- Introgression into tetraploid breeding clones to pyramid resistances.

Acknowledgements

James Hutton Institute Vivian Blok Glenn Bryan Ingo Hein **Miles** Armstrong Karen McLean **Brian Harrower** Katrin MacKenzie Nematology lab Potato-genetics lab **Glasshouse staff**

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Benjamin Mimee Pierre-Yves Veronneau

SASA Edinburgh Jon Pickup Andrew Pitt Nematology lab

